IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.106 OF 2004.

State of Maharashtra. ... Applicant.
Versus.
Majoj Mohan Dalvi. ... Respondent.

Ms.M.H.Mhatre, A.P.P.for the Applicant.

Shri D.A.Nalavade for the Respondent.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.

DATED : 17th October, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned Advocate appearing for the Respondent. The learned A.P.P. for State on instructions of the Investigating Officer states that the trial has been concluded and all other accused have been acquitted. By this Criminal Revision Application, challenge is to the order dated 30th August 2003 by which the Sessions Court discharged the Respondent who is Accused No.2. In view of the subsequent event of conclusion of the trial, I find that there is no error in the view taken by the learned Sessions Judge while discharging the Respondent. No case is made out for interference. The Revision Application is rejected.

Judge.